RESULTS OF SELF-ASSESSMENT AS TO ADHERANCE OF THE UKRAINE STATE STATISTICS COMMITTEE ACTIVITY TO THE EUROPEAN STATISTICS CODE OF PRACTICE (1)

Principle 1 "Professional independence" –**almost full adherence** except a specific policy on publicly comments on statistical issues including criticism and misuses of official statistics and misinterpretation of official statistics.

Principle 2 "Mandate for data collection" – **full adherence.**

Principle 3 "Adequacy of resources" – **not obtained the unambiguous and exhaustive answer** as some independent structural units did not take part in filling-out the Questionnaire.

Principle 4 "Quality commitment" – **almost full adherence** as guidelines on the data quality are prepared not for all observations. Also not all guidelines are spelled out in writing with comments publicized and publically available.

Principle 5 "Statistical confidentiality" – **full adherence.** However, responses to the follow-up questions related to principle 5 revealed rather discrepant opinions on the strong and weak areas. On one hand, the national legislation sets forth the provision on protection of statistical confidentiality and provides for guarantees of protection of statistical confidentiality while on the other hand there are no strictly regulated procedures for its observance.

Principle 6 "Impartiality and objectivity" – **almost full adherence** excluding the procedures for recording information on gross errors discovered in published data and rules of how the corrected data should be announced to users.

Principle 7 "Sound methodology" – **almost full adherence.** But this self-assessment should be clarified given the fact that some independent structural units did not participate in filling-out responses to indicators related to this Principle.

Принцип 8 "Appropriate statistical procedures" – **almost full adherence.** But this self-assessment should be made more precise as responses to questions revealed a sort of ambiguity.

RESULTS OF SELF-ASSESSMENT AS TO ADHERANCE OF THE UKRAINE STATE STATISTICS COMMITTEE ACTIVITY TO THE EUROPEAN STATISTICS CODE OF PRACTICE (2)

Principle 9. "Non-excessive burden on respondents" – **almost full adherence.** However, this self-assessment should be made more precise as responses to some questions revealed a sort of ambiguity.

Principle 10 "Cost effectiveness" **almost full lack of adherence** because of the insufficient extent of automation of data processing processes (capture, editing, coding and validation) as well as an insufficient use of the administrative data for statistical needs.

Principle 11 "Relevance" – **almost full adherence** with exception of functioning of the national use Council and compiling the index of meeting the users' needs.

Principle 12 "Accuracy and reliability" – **not obtained unambiguous and exhaustive response** as, firstly, responses to the question on the ESS quality indicators standards I from "0" to "75%" and secondly, independent structural units did not take part in filling-out all questions in the Questionnaire.

Principle 13 "Timeliness and punctuality' **- full adherence.**

Principle 14 "Coherence and comparability' **– full adherence.**

Principle 15 "Accessibility and clarity" – almost full lack of adherence for non-availability of the standard metadata format (with exception of ССПД) and consequently their quality measurement. As well despite the analysis of suitability of the data presentation format to users its results are not made publicly available.